



RE: revised BERA comment resolution table

John Toll

to:

James McKenna, Chip Humphrey, Burt Shephard

11/08/2012 09:20 AM

Cc:

"jworonets@anchorqea.com"

Hide Details

From: John Toll <JohnT@windwardenv.com>

To: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>, Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

Cc: "jworonets@anchorqea.com" <jworonets@anchorqea.com>

History: This message has been forwarded.

Chip, Jim and Burt,

I just took another pass through the comment response table to try to put together a brief summary of what's conditionally resolved (pending fact check) and what resolution pending (kicked up to management). Here's my brief summary:

Comments that are conditionally resolved pending EPA fact checking:

- 6: confirm that analysis of fish tissue averaged by exposure area is consistent with prior agreements
- 15: confirm that samples averaged over agreed spatial scales
- 20, 117: confirm that shorebird exposure analysis is consistent with the problem formulation
- 92: confirm prior agreement about Study Area boundaries
- 100-102: confirm that refined screen is consistent with the problem formulation
- 128, 130-132, 135: confirm that the problem formulation doesn't call for CONCAWE-like TPH assessment for sediment LOE
- 163: confirm that prey fractions are consistent with the problem formulation

Comments pending resolution:

- 29, 30, 62, 172, 178, 179: fish tissue TRVs for BEHP, DDx and 2,3,7,8-TCDD.

Comment 36 also is shown as resolution pending, but I might have misclassified this one. It's calling for us to revisit and possible change sediment SLVs. Burt, I recall that you said that you're not asking for changes to sediment SLVs but I can't find a record of it. If you do want us to consider new SLVs then this has to go up the chain to Chip and Jim.

Hopefully this summary will help expedite closure. Burt, I'll give you a call to follow up. John

---

**From:** James McKenna [<mailto:jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 07, 2012 7:17 AM

**To:** John Toll; 'humphrey.chip@epa.gov'; 'shephard.burt@epa.gov'

**Cc:** 'jworonets@anchorqea.com'

**Subject:** Re: revised BERA comment resolution table

Thanks John, and yes let's set-up a call. In fact I believe we discussed setting-up a call with you, me, Burt and Chip every week or two to help keep this rolling (my recommendation is you and Burt continue your discussions whenever is convenient for the two of you, and then have a status call with me and Chip every two weeks).

Chip, if you agree then I can have Jen coordinate arranging the best day/time for the recurring two-week check-in call. Thanks, Jim.

---

**From:** John Toll [<mailto:JohnT@windwardenv.com>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 07, 2012 09:02 AM

**To:** 'Chip Humphrey ([humphrey.chip@epa.gov](mailto:humphrey.chip@epa.gov))' <[humphrey.chip@epa.gov](mailto:humphrey.chip@epa.gov)>; James McKenna; Burt Shephard <[shephard.burt@epa.gov](mailto:shephard.burt@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jennifer Woronets <[jworonets@anchorgea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchorgea.com)>

**Subject:** revised BERA comment resolution table

Chip, Jim and Burt,

I've updated the tentative resolution status column of the BERA comment response table per our last discussion. Please see attached. Shall we set up another conference call?

John